

Dear participants,

Here is the information relating to the procedure for paper evaluation.

EVALUATION OF PAPERS for Technical Journal

- a. Full papers are evaluated by **two reviewers** through the Conf Tool application (we also hire a third reviewer if necessary). The **ranking of papers** is made by the **number of points**.
- b. After evaluating the papers, the **author(s)** should consider the reviewers' suggestions for corrections and, if necessary, **correct them**.
- c. The **Organizing Committee** and the **reviewers** shall **verify the corrected version** of the paper.
- d. The next step is **proof of English language** by the author. Otherwise, the evaluation process is extended because it is taken over the magazine.
- e. The next step is a software **verification of similarity to ensure the originality of the paper**.
- f. Then, based on the **reviewer's suggestion**, the **Organising Committee** MOTSP2022 suggestion and the **Chief Editor decision**, the **paper is finally accepted** for publication in the Technical Journal.
- g. A proforma is sent to contact person to **make a conference fee payment**.
- h. The MOTSP2021 **Organizing Committee** sends a **digital account with the specified work ID, contact person and name of the institution** to contact person via e-mail.
- i. When the **contact person receives a copyright document**, it should be **signed** and sent to the editorial board of TG.
- j. The **last step for the author is to approve a camera ready** (graphically processed) paper.
- k. **Access to all papers will be available digitally to all authors (open access)**. The **paper version will be sent by mail to the contact person** by the Technical Journal's editorial board.
- l. If someone cannot wait for the publication of the article, he/she **may receive a digital certificate of publication**.

